

Decision Number: 20 (2014/15)

## **Portfolio Holder Executive Decision Statement**

The Local Authority (Executive Arrangements)(Meetings and Access to Information)(England) Regulations 2012

### **Subject: Repair & Renew Grant 2014**

**Details of Decision taken: One application to the Repair & Renew Grant to receive funding as follows:**  
Brook House, Westerham £ 4,800.00

### **Reason for Decision**

- Cabinet, on 8 May 2014 approved the guidelines for the Repair & Renew Grant and delegated authority to the Economic & Community Development Portfolio Holder to agree funding. After DEFRA made changes to the scheme in late May, Cabinet agreed changes to the Guidelines on 5 June 2014.
- Funding that this Council pays out under the Repair & Renew Grant is claimed back quarterly from DEFRA.
- Three applications were received and appraised on Wednesday 24 September.
- Officers present recommended that one application, from Brook House, Westerham receive a total of £ 4,800.00.
- Two applications, from Sundridge, were not recommended to receive funding, as neither property had suffered flooding to habitable internal areas of the property.

### **All Documents considered:**

Repair & Renew Grant applications from Brook House, Westerham, Combe Cottage, Sundridge and 148 Main Road, Sundridge.

### **Details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the Member when making the Decision:**

None

### **Financial implications**

Funding allocated and paid out is claimed back from DEFRA on a quarterly basis. Funding must be claimed from DEFRA by 31 March 2015. The Council's deadline for residents and business to claim funding agreed under the scheme is 31 December 2014.

### **Legal Implications and Risk Assessment Statement**

There are no legal implications from this decision.

Decision Number: 20 (2014/15)

<b>Equality Impacts</b> (Consideration of impacts under the Public Sector Equality Duty)		
Question	Answer	Explanation / Evidence
a. Does the decision being made or recommended through this paper have potential to disadvantage or discriminate against different groups in the community?	No	• All the applications are private houses.
b. Does the decision being made or recommended through this paper have the potential to promote equality of opportunity?	Yes	
c. What steps can be taken to mitigate, reduce, avoid or minimise the impacts identified above?		No mitigations required
<b>Local Member (s), other Portfolio Holders and/or Directors/Heads of Service Consulted</b>		
None		
<b>Details of any conflicts of interest</b>		
<b>a) declared by any executive member who is consulted by the Decision Taker</b>		
None		
<b>b) and any details of dispensations granted by the Chief Executive in respect of any declared conflict</b>		
None		
<b>Decision taken by:</b>	Portfolio Holder for Economic & Community Development	
<b>Signed by Portfolio Holder</b>	[Redacted Signature]	
<b>Date of Decision</b>	25/9/14	
<b>Record made by:</b>	George Lewis	
<b>Date of record:</b>	26 September 2014	

When completed a copy of this record must be sent to the Democratic Services Section by e-mail and a signed copy by internal mail